

iCommLaw

Anita Taff-Rice, Esq. (SBN 186039)

1547 Palos Verdes #298

Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Tel: (415) 699-7885

Fax: (925) 274-0988

anita@icommlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JONATHAN FINESTONE

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

SEAN J. FILIPPINI (SBN 232380)

Email: sfilippini@downeybrand.com

DUSTIN M. AMREIN (SBN 304561)

Email: damrein@downeybrand.com

621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor

Sacramento, California 95814

Telephone: 916.444.1000

Facsimile: 916.444.2100

Attorneys for Defendants

UTILITY TELECOM GROUP, LLC, UTILITY

TELEPHONE, INC., and JASON MILLS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION

JONATHAN FINESTONE,

Plaintiff,

v.

UTILITY TELECOM GROUP, LLC,

UTILITY TELEPHONE, INC.,

JASON MILLS, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00230-TLN-KHN

**SUPPLEMENTAL STIPULATION TO
PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

Judge: Hon. Troy Nunley

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), Local Rule 141, and Section 10 of the existing Stipulated Protective Order Plaintiff JONATHAN FINESTONE (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants UTILITY TELECOM GROUP, LLC, UTILITY TELEPHONE, INC., and JASON MILLS (“Defendants”) by and through their respective counsel of record hereby stipulate to modify the Protective Order entered July 8, 2020. [Dkt. 9]. Except for the modifications identified herein, all other terms of the existing Protective Order remain unchanged.

SECTION 2

The parties agree to modify Section 2 of the Protective Order to add a designation for production of “ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL,” in connection with discovery, pretrial activities, trial, and post-trial activities including appeals, in the above captioned case (“Action”). “ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ” is information (regardless of how it is generated, stored, or maintained) or tangible things that a party in good faith believes not to be in the public domain and that reveals or reflects: (i) highly sensitive trade secrets, intellectual property, proprietary technical information, and research and development information, (ii) highly sensitive business, financial, or marketing information; or (iii) such other documents, information, or materials that a party reasonably believes is entitled to extraordinary protection or is of such nature and character that the unauthorized disclosure of such information is likely to substantially harm the competitive or economic position of the party or provide improper advantage to others.

SECTION 3

The parties agree to modify Section 3 of the Protective Order to add the following:

“The acceptance by a Party of material marked as “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” shall not constitute an admission or concession or permit an inference that such designation is appropriate. However, material marked as “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” shall be treated as designated unless the Parties follow the procedures set forth below to remove, change or otherwise declassify the designation.

The challenging party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each challenge. Written

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

1 notice must be emailed **and mailed** to the designating Party. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a
 2 challenge has been made, the written notice must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is
 3 being made in accordance with this specific paragraph of the Protective Order. A challenge may
 4 be made at any time. In conferring, the challenging party must explain the basis for its belief that
 5 the “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” designation was not proper and
 6 must give the designating party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the
 7 designation, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen
 8 designation. A challenging party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it
 9 has engaged in this meet-and-confer process first or establishes that the designating party has not
 10 participated in the meet-and-confer process within ten (10) business days.

11 A challenging party may, but is not obligated to, elect to pursue a challenge to a
 12 “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” designation, after considering the
 13 justification offered by the designating Party during the meet-and-confer process, by filing and
 14 serving a motion that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the
 15 challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the
 16 movant has complied with the meet-and-confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph
 17 and that sets forth with specificity the justification for the challenge to the designation. In the
 18 event such a challenge is made, it shall be the obligation of the producing Party or non-party to file
 19 and serve an appropriate motion to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
 20 California (the “Court”), no later than sixty (60) business days after the conclusion of the ten (10)
 21 business day period, requesting the Court to retain the ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY – HIGHLY
 22 CONFIDENTIAL designation under Local Rule 140 (and in compliance with Local Rule 141, if
 23 applicable). Until the Court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to afford the material
 24 in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the designating party’s designation,
 25 in accordance with the Protective Order.

26 The failure to challenge a designation of material as “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY –
 27 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” shall not be deemed an admission, concession, or permit an
 28 inference that such designation is appropriate.”

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

SECTION 4

The parties further agree to modify Section 4 of the Protective Order to add the following:

“Material designated and produced as ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL may only be used to prosecute or defend this action and shall not be disclosed to (nor the content discussed with) anyone other than the following persons:

(a) attorneys of record for the receiving party, their support staff, and their vendors (e.g., court reporters; videographers; copying and document management service providers; translators; jury consultants; as well as graphics, animation, and demonstrative exhibit service providers);

(b) experts, investigators, or consultants (collectively “Experts”) engaged by the receiving party or its attorneys to assist and/or testify in the preparation and trial of this case who agree to abide by the terms of this Protective Order by signing **Exhibit B**;

(c) the Court, its clerks and employees, and any court reporter retained to record proceedings before the Court in which event such information shall be filed under seal (as set forth in Section 8 of the Protective Order);

(d) any alternative dispute resolution representative who is assigned or requested by the parties to hear this matter and his or her staff, subject to their agreement to maintain confidentiality to the same degree as required by this Order.”

Nothing in this stipulation may be construed to waive any party’s right to challenge the “ATTORNEY’S EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” or Confidential” designation of any materials produced.”

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

DATED: October 14, 2022

iCommLaw

By: /S/ Anita Taff-Rice
Anita Taff-Rice
Attorneys for Plaintiff JONATHAN FINESTONE

1 DATED: October 14, 2022

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

2
3 By: /S/ Dustin M. Amrein


4 Sean J. Filippini

Dustin M. Amrein

5 Attorneys for Defendants UTILITY TELECOM
6 GROUP, LLC, UTILITY TELEPHONE, INC.,
7 and JASON MILLS

8 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: October 18, 2022

10 

11 KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 fine.230

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO DIVISION

JONATHAN FINESTONE,

Plaintiff,

v.

UTILITY TELECOM GROUP, LLC, UTILITY
TELEPHONE, INC., JASON MILLS, and DOES
1-10,

Defendants.

)
)
) Case No. 2:20-cv-00230-TLN-KHN

)
) **SUPPLEMENTAL STIPULATION**
) **TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**
) **GOVERNING CONFIDENTIAL**
) **INFORMATION**

) Judge: Troy L. Nunley
)

I, _____, hereby acknowledge that, as a non-party to the Protective Order ordered by the Court in the above-captioned case, I am about to receive documents information designated as “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” under the Protective Order.

I certify my understanding that “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” information is being provided to me pursuant to the terms and restrictions of the Protective Order, and that I have been given a copy of and have read and understood my obligations under that Protective Order. I hereby agree to be bound by the terms of the Protective Order. I clearly understand that the “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” information and my copies or notes relating thereto may only be disclosed to or discussed with those persons permitted by the Protective Order to receive such material.

I will return on request all materials containing “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” information, copies thereof, and notes that I have prepared relating thereto, to outside counsel for the party to the litigation by whom or on whose behalf I am retained.

I hereby submit to the jurisdiction of this Court for the purpose of enforcement of the Protective Order and waive any and all objections to jurisdiction and venue.

1 I make the above statements under penalty of perjury.
2

3 Date: _____
4

5 City where sworn and signed: _____
6

7 Printed name: _____
8

9 Signature: _____
10

11 Address: _____
12 _____
13 _____
14 _____
15 _____
16 _____
17 _____
18 _____
19 _____
20 _____
21 _____
22 _____
23 _____
24 _____
25 _____
26 _____
27 _____
28 _____